1	BEFORE THE
0	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	IN THE MATTER OF:
3)
4	AT&T CORP.) no. 08-0544
_	Application for a certificate)
5	of local and interexchange) authority to operate as a)
6	reseller and facilities-based)
7	carrier of telecommunications) services in the State of
	Illinois.
8	
9	Chicago, Illinois
10	October 29, 2008
11	
12	Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1 APPEARANCES: 2 MS. NANCY J. HERTEL 3 225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25D Chicago, Illinois 60606 4 appeared for AT&T Corp.; 5 MR. STEVEN STRICKLAND 6 175 East Houston Street San Antonio, Texas 78205 7 appeared for AT&T Corp., telephonically; 8 9 MS. KAREN CHANG 527 East Capitol Avenue 10 Springfield, Illinois 62701 appeared for Staff, telephonically. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR 18 19 20 21 22

1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u>	<u>E</u> <u>X</u>			
2	Witnesses:	Dir.	Crx.		Re-	
3			8	<u>uii.</u>	CIA.	
4	Scott Walker	6	8			10
5	Deno Perdiou	21				
6						
7						
8		П 1		T		
9		<u>E</u> X	<u>н т в</u>	<u>I</u> TS		
10	APPLICANT'S	FOR I	DENTIF	ICATIO	<u>N</u> <u>I</u>	N EVIDENCE
11	1.0					27
	1.1					27
12	2.0					27
13	3.0					27
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction
- 2 of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I call
- 3 Docket 08-0544. This is an application by AT&T
- 4 Corp., for a certificate of local and interexchange
- 5 authority to operate as a reseller and
- 6 facilities-based carrier of telecommunications
- 7 services in the State of Illinois.
- Ms. Hertel, we begin with you, would
- 9 you enter an appearance, please.
- 10 MS. HERTEL: Appearing on behalf of AT&T Corp.,
- 11 Nancy Hertel, H-e-r-t-e-1, 225 West Randolph,
- 12 Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 14 MS. HERTEL: Also present in San Antonio with
- 15 Mr. Walker, our witness, and he may or may not be
- 16 speaking but I'll enter an appearance for him, is
- 17 Steven Strickland with AT&T, 175 East Houston
- 18 Street, H-o-u-s-t-o-n, San Antonio, Texas 78205.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 20 And, sorry, there was another attorney
- 21 with Mr. Walker.
- MS. HERTEL: That was Mr. Strickland, whose

- 1 appearance -- I just introduced him.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Thank you.
- And is there any other attorneys who
- 4 need to appear?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Then, Ms. Chang, will you state
- 7 your name and address for the record, please.
- 8 MS. CHANG: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 My name is Karen Chang. I am Staff
- 10 for Illinois Commerce Commission. My location is at
- 11 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois
- 12 62701.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 14 And at this point, I guess we should
- 15 go off the record because we want to discuss this
- 16 matter of the active assumed names.
- 17 (Whereupon, a discussion
- 18 was had off the record.)
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Back on the record.
- 20 Okay. We had a brief discussion off
- 21 the record about the active assumed names and we are
- 22 going to proceed.

- 1 Ms. Hertel, did you want to call a
- 2 witness in support of the application?
- 3 MS. HERTEL: Yes. I would like to call Scott
- 4 Walker as my witness.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: Good morning, Mr. Walker.
- 6 MR. WALKER: Yes, sir, good morning.
- 7 (Witness sworn.)
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: Please proceed.
- 9 SCOTT WALKER,
- 10 called as a witness herein, and after having been
- 11 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 12 follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY
- 15 MS. HERTEL:
- 16 Q Mr. Walker, did you prepare testimony
- 17 that's been marked as AT&T Corporation Exhibit 1.0
- 18 and it consists of seven pages of questions and
- 19 answers?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q And in conjunction with that, did you also
- 22 attach to that an Exhibit 1.1, which is a chart that

- 1 shows the A-S-I A-A-D-S structure?
- 2 A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q If I asked you the same questions that are
- 4 contained in your testimony and with the exhibit,
- 5 would your answers be the same today?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q Are there any clarifications or changes
- 8 that you want to make to the actual written
- 9 testimony itself?
- 10 A No, ma'am.
- 11 MS. HERTEL: I would move to admit Exhibits 1.0
- 12 and 1.1 into the record.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. And I will hold ruling in
- 14 abeyance pending any questions by Staff.
- 15 Was Mr. Strickland going to ask any
- 16 questions or are you handling it?
- MS. HERTEL: No, I was.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Thank you.
- Ms. Chang, I'm going to turn
- 20 Mr. Walker over to you for cross-examination.
- 21
- 22

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY
- 3 MS. CHANG:
- 4 Q Mr. Walker, this is Karen Chang.
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q My question is related to the application
- 7 Question No. 16. And the question is, Does any
- 8 officer of Applicant have an ownership or other
- 9 interest in any other entity which has provided or
- 10 is currently providing telecommunications services?
- 11 And the answer is, Yes, some of the officers may
- 12 also be officers of other AT&T affiliates. Is that
- 13 a correct answer?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q Okay. I have a question, regarding to when
- 16 we have directors and officers working at different
- 17 affiliates, does AT&T Corp., have polices they have
- 18 to allocate that time?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Would you describe how that works?
- 21 A If the question is, if an employee of one
- 22 affiliate works for another affiliate that the time

- 1 is allocated equally?
- 2 Q Allocated equally.
- 3 MS. HERTEL: Ms. Chang, are you asking whether
- 4 if there's an instance where there should be some
- 5 sort of exception time reporting, you know, like one
- 6 affiliate is regulated, for example, and one is
- 7 unregulated, whether to the extent an officer is,
- 8 you know, working on behalf of both, whether there's
- 9 some allocation of time to account for that, is that
- 10 your question?
- 11 MS. CHANG: Yes.
- 12 Q My question is strictly about time
- 13 allocation and regulated and unregulated services.
- 14 A Yes, ma'am. We have affiliates procedures
- 15 in place to make it clear that if one individual and
- 16 one affiliate, regulated or unregulated, works for
- 17 another affiliate, that time is charged to the other
- 18 affiliate.
- 19 Q Okay. And AT&T Corp., plans to keep their
- 20 books outside of Illinois?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 O Where would that be located?

- 1 A In San Antonio, Texas.
- 2 Q And do you have the address?
- 3 A Yes, ma'am. It's 175 East Houston Street
- 4 in San Antonio, Texas 78205.
- 5 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 MS. CHANG: That's all the questions I have,
- 8 your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 10 EXAMINATION
- 11 BY
- 12 JUDGE RILEY:
- 13 Q Mr. Walker, very briefly, let me ask you
- 14 with regard to those assumed names. Are you
- 15 familiar with them at all?
- 16 A Yes, sir. I'm an -- yes, sir.
- 17 Q And will AT&T Corp., be marketing itself to
- 18 Illinois customers under any of those assumed names?
- 19 A No, sir.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 21 And, Ms. Hertel, you had indicated
- 22 earlier that most likely those names will be

- 1 transferred --
- 2 MS. HERTEL: Transferred. The process will take
- 3 two or three weeks to do.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- If you can indulge me for just two
- 6 minutes, I had a document in my hand and forgot to
- 7 bring it in here. So I'll be right back.
- 8 (Short pause.)
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Let's go back on the record.
- 10 Q Mr. Walker, to your knowledge, does
- 11 AT&T Corp., intend to offer any operator-assisted
- 12 services?
- 13 A No, sir.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: That's all the questions that I
- 15 have.
- 16 Did you have anything further for the
- 17 witness, Ms. Hertel?
- MS. HERTEL: No, your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Chang, does Staff have a
- 20 recommendation with regard to this application?
- 21 MS. CHANG: Staff has a positive recommendation.
- 22 Staff agree that AT&T Corp., had the technical,

- 1 financial and managerial capabilities to support the
- 2 certificate.
- 3 However, Staff recommend that we have
- 4 the three conditions previously posted under
- 5 Docket No. 04-0479, to continue in this particular
- 6 application.
- 7 MS. HERTEL: Your Honor, we don't believe that
- 8 the conditions would be appropriate to impose.
- 9 These were conditions that had attached to AADS'
- 10 certificate, and they're outlined in the
- 11 Commission's order in that proceeding, which I
- 12 believe is Docket No. 04-0479.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 14 MS. HERTEL: And, you know, at the time that
- 15 those conditions were entered, they were entered
- 16 several years early and then they were subsequently
- 17 modified in 2004. AT&T Corp., would be -- AADS was
- 18 the only competitive carrier that, to our knowledge,
- 19 had any kind of conditions imposed on its
- 20 certificate.
- 21 MS. CHANG: This is Staff.
- I am thinking that for

- 1 cross-subsidization is still --
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: I don't think the court reporter
- 3 got that, Ms. Chang.
- 4 MS. HERTEL: Cross-subsidization.
- 5 MS. CHANG: I am talking about the three
- 6 conditions under this other docket, but with a
- 7 different name. Again, AT&T Corp., is an affiliate
- 8 of other corporations, AT&T, Incorporated, as it
- 9 appears to be a parent company. This is a relevant
- 10 condition, in my opinion.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Chang, the only question I
- 12 would have is, when did this come up before? Had
- 13 any of these conditions from the prior docket been
- 14 mentioned to either the Applicant or to me. Because
- 15 this is the first I've heard of it?
- MS. CHANG: Well, I had worked with Mr. Deno at
- 17 the beginning, I think it was September, and we
- 18 tried to make this most sense from AADS certificate
- 19 and go forward with AT&T Corp., certificate.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Let me interject here.
- 21 Is it my understanding that AT&T
- 22 Corp., is going to subsume AADS?

- 1 MS. HERTEL: It's going to be merged into it.
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: So what Ms. Chang is saying is
- 3 that the conditions that were imposed on AADS should
- 4 now also be imposed on AT&T Corp.?
- 5 MS. HERTEL: That's my understanding of what
- 6 she's saying.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: My question would be, why were the
- 8 conditions opposed on AADS? Ms. Chang, do you know?
- 9 MS. CHANG: Well, given the size of the company
- 10 and even -- well, as we all can see that many
- 11 different affiliates had -- went into different
- 12 names and the corporation structure have changed
- 13 this way and that. And it is still rather a concern
- 14 for different type of services that are being
- 15 operated under this big umbrella.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Well, let me ask. Can you explain
- 17 to me what the three conditions are?
- 18 MS. CHANG: Okay. It was about
- 19 cross-subsidization is the first condition.
- 20 And the second condition is between
- 21 affiliates that they shall have arm's-length
- 22 negotiations.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Excuse me. We're not
- 2 understanding you.
- 3 The first condition was
- 4 cross-subsidization?
- 5 MS. CHANG: Correct.
- 6 It reads exactly like this, AADS shall
- 7 not request or accept any advantage from SBC
- 8 Illinois through cross-subsidization.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Now, how would that
- 10 apply to AT&T Corp.? Who would they be prohibited
- 11 from getting cross-subsidization from?
- MS. CHANG: AT&T Corp., after this transition
- 13 mergers will be directly below AT&T, Inc.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: What was the second condition?
- 15 Arm's-length negotiation, you said?
- 16 MS. CHANG: All transactions between AADS and
- 17 SBC Illinois shall be the result of arm's-length
- 18 negotiations, shall be reduced to writing and shall
- 19 be in accordance with the applicable regulatory
- 20 accounting rules and regulations.
- 21 That is very straightforward.
- JUDGE RILEY: And what is the third condition?

- 1 MS. CHANG: The third condition is, AADS shall
- 2 not sell or provide any services offered pursuant to
- 3 any expanded certificate authority received in this
- 4 docket to any customer or end user at a price lower
- 5 than AADS costs, including the costs of any service
- 6 components utilized to provide said services to that
- 7 customer or end user.
- 8 Basically, it is saying that the rate
- 9 shall be above the cost. This is for the purpose of
- 10 equal competitiveness, if I may conclude.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: And you're saying that these
- 12 conditions are also relevant to AT&T Corp., in this
- 13 docket?
- 14 MS. CHANG: It is very general. And affiliate
- 15 transactions is always very, very important between
- 16 companies -- between affiliates. The accounting
- 17 should be precise and it should be readily available
- 18 to identify that it is independent.
- JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Hertel, state your response to
- 20 this again.
- 21 MS. HERTEL: Okay. Currently, AADS, the company
- 22 that offers advanced data and broadband services to

- 1 business customers, is the only carrier in the State
- 2 of Illinois, to our knowledge, that provides only
- 3 competitive services that has conditions imposed on
- 4 it. And they were initially imposed in a
- 5 certification case, and forgive me, I can't remember
- 6 the year, it was like in the '90s, and then the
- 7 conditions were narrowed, and these three conditions
- 8 remained in 2004.
- 9 And our position is, is that, you
- 10 know, there's this whole family of, you know, AT&T,
- 11 there are probably five or six certified entities in
- 12 AT&T offering services.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Right.
- 14 MS. HERTEL: And although this entity is going
- 15 to be offering, you know, initially the services
- 16 that AADS offers, that the competitive environment
- 17 has really changed and that it would be
- 18 inappropriate to impose these three conditions on
- 19 AT&T Corporation's certificate.
- 20 And, you know, the conditions, -- we
- 21 might quibble with the wording of the conditions as
- 22 being, you know, vague in some instances, it's not

- 1 that, you know, AT&T Corp., doesn't recognize these
- 2 three conditions, but the Commission has the tools
- 3 to enforce it, you know, should there ever be a
- 4 problem and there hasn't been a problem in the,
- 5 you know, 15 to 16 years that AADS has been
- 6 operating and providing these types of services in
- 7 Illinois.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Chang, my biggest problem is
- 9 that this is a total surprise. None of this was
- 10 brought to my attention prior to this hearing.
- 11 MS. CHANG: I am sorry it is a total surprise.
- 12 It is strictly related because we are changing
- 13 AT&T Corp., the -- to substitute AADS. Okay, AADS
- 14 will eventually go away. So their customers will go
- 15 under AT&T Corp. It's in the -- AT&T, Inc., and its
- 16 non-regulated services, it used to be under the
- 17 Regulation 272 audit. And I think more than
- 18 anything this conditions are relevant and necessary.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Well, is it my understanding then
- 20 that Staff would not recommend the issuance of a
- 21 certificate unless those three conditions were
- 22 imposed?

- 1 MS. CHANG: I do not understand why -- since the
- 2 Company feel like it already is doing the things,
- 3 why would it be against it if it was right in the
- 4 order?
- JUDGE RILEY: I don't follow your response.
- 6 MS. CHANG: Okay. Staff feels that AT&T, Inc.,
- 7 and AT&T Corp., if they are already doing the
- 8 things, you know, we are just being consistent from
- 9 the previous CLEC cases certificate to the current
- 10 CLEC cases, because the consumer will be the same
- 11 consumers and management will be the same
- 12 managements and same technology will be applied.
- 13 This conditions should stay.
- JUDGE RILEY: See, the problem that I have is
- 15 that this AADS is going to disappear, as you said.
- 16 And, yet, you want these conditions that were
- 17 imposed upon AADS to also apply to AT&T Corp.,
- 18 because you say they're going to be in the same
- 19 situation.
- 20 MS. CHANG: Correct.
- I am not recommending for AADS or
- 22 SBC Illinois. I am recommending this condition to

- 1 be imposed to AT&T Corp., in relation to AT&T,
- 2 Incorporated.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: Counsel, is this the first you've
- 4 heard of this?
- 5 MS. HERTEL: I haven't had the discussions with
- 6 Ms. Chang, so I don't know if she -- you know, prior
- 7 to filing the application what discussions that were
- 8 had as to this point between --
- 9 MS. CHANG: Can we go off the record for a
- 10 minute, please?
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Well, I guess -- before we do that
- 12 let me go back to my original question.
- 13 Would Staff's recommendation with
- 14 regard to this certificate change if the three
- 15 conditions were not adopted?
- 16 MS. CHANG: It was part of my recommendation.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. So that is your
- 18 recommendation, that the three conditions be
- 19 adopted --
- MS. CHANG: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 JUDGE RILEY: -- for the certificate to issue.
- MS. CHANG: Yes.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Let's go off the record.
- 2 (Whereupon, a discussion
- was had off the record.)
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: Back on the record.
- 5 (Witness sworn.)
- 6 DENO PERDIOU,
- 7 called as a witness herein, and after having been
- 8 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 9 follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY
- MS. HERTEL:
- 13 Q Mr. Perdiou, could you spell your first and
- 14 last name for the court reporter and state your
- 15 business address.
- 16 A Deno, D-e-n-o, Perdiou, P-e-r-d-i-o-u.
- 17 Q What is your business address?
- 18 A 555 East Cook Street, Springfield, Illinois
- 19 62721.
- 20 Q And by whom are you employed and in what
- 21 capacity?
- 22 A AT&T Illinois, Director of Regulatory

- 1 Affairs.
- 2 Q And prior to the filing of the application
- 3 in this proceeding, the proceeding we're in today,
- 4 did you have any discussions with Staff regarding
- 5 the application that we planned to file?
- 6 A Yes, we have.
- 7 Q And was there -- in the course of those
- 8 discussions, were there any discussions regarding
- 9 the three conditions on the Ameritech Advanced Data
- 10 Services certificate that was issued in 04-0479?
- 11 A There were.
- 12 Q And what were those discussions?
- 13 A We discussed the application of those
- 14 conditions in AADS and discussed them in the context
- 15 of saying, Well, should they apply to the
- 16 Ameritech -- or AT&T Corp.? And as I said, the
- 17 conversations with Karen -- Ms. Chang, and
- 18 Mr. Zolnarek (phonetic) and Staff, the conclusions
- 19 from those meetings I had is that we would not want
- 20 to impose it. That Staff was not going to recommend
- 21 the imposition of these conditions. That time had
- 22 passed. That the competitive landscape had changed.

- 1 That the necessity for these conditions did not
- 2 exist anymore. That there were no violations
- 3 associated with these conditions. And, therefore,
- 4 Staff felt comfortable in pursuing the Ameritech
- 5 Corp., certificate without the conditions.
- 6 MS. HERTEL: I have no further questions for
- 7 Mr. Perdiou.
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 9 Ms. Chang, did you have any
- 10 cross-examination for Mr. Perdiou?
- 11 MS. CHANG: Staff has no cross-examination.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Mr. Perdiou, thank you
- 13 very much.
- 14 As it stands right now, then, Staff's
- 15 recommendation is that the certificate issue with
- 16 the three conditions, to which AT&T Corp., has
- 17 objected.
- 18 MS. HERTEL: Correct.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Do the parties want to take time
- 20 to brief this matter or should -- or my feeling is
- 21 to simply submit the order to the Commission with
- 22 the argument.

- 1 MS. HERTEL: I don't believe that we want to
- 2 take the time -- can we go off the record for just a
- 3 minute?
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: Yes.
- 5 (Whereupon, a discussion
- was had off the record.)
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. We have framed an issue
- 8 here with regard to the imposition of the three
- 9 conditions that Staff says should be a part of the
- 10 order coming from Docket 04-0479 and AT&T Corp., has
- 11 stated its objection and that's where we are right
- 12 now.
- 13 What it amounts to then is that at the
- 14 conclusion of this hearing I will prepare a proposed
- 15 order for the parties addressing all of the issues
- 16 in this matter and give time for exceptions, that
- 17 will be a very abbreviated time frame, given the
- 18 fact you want to get this done in December.
- 19 MS. HERTEL: Preferably December 3rd.
- JUDGE RILEY: And if not then, then at least by
- 21 the 17th.
- 22 So that's where we are at this point.

- 1 MS. HERTEL: Mr. Strickland, does that date work
- 2 for you, the 17th?
- 3 MR. STRICKLAND: That works for us. We need to
- 4 try to keep it from slipping then.
- 5 MS. HERTEL: Okay.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: All right. And then I will have a
- 7 very short date in there for the exceptions.
- The matter of the exhibits.
- 9 Counsel, what I want to do is mark as
- 10 Staff Exhibit 1, it would be Mr. Walker's
- 11 testimony --
- 12 MS. HERTEL: You mean AT&T Corp., Exhibit 1.0?
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Exactly.
- 14 Exhibit 2 would be --
- MS. HERTEL: 1.1 is how we had marked the
- 16 attachment. It was a chart showing the current
- 17 ASI AADS structure.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: It was attached to his testimony?
- 19 MS. HERTEL: Yes.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: Well, that will be included in
- 21 Exhibit 1.1.
- MS. HERTEL: Okay.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: And then Exhibit 2 -- the
- 2 financial information, where was that?
- 3 MS. HERTEL: The restated certificate of
- 4 incorporation, the certificate of good standing, is
- 5 that what you're referring to?
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: No, usually there's a balance
- 7 sheet, profit and loss statement.
- 8 MS. HERTEL: Oh. We attached the Annual Report.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. 2 will be the Annual
- 10 Report. And what was that under?
- 11 MS. HERTEL: I failed to mark it. I believe
- 12 it's H, but I didn't mark it down.
- Deno, are you on-line? Could you look
- 14 real fast?
- MR. PERDIOU: What did you need?
- 16 MS. HERTEL: The Annual Report that we
- 17 introduced into the record.
- 18 MR. PERDIOU: Okay. You needed the date for
- 19 that?
- 20 MS. HERTEL: No, just what exhibit number it
- 21 was, Appendix H.
- Your Honor, we did mark one exhibit as

- 1 proprietary. We did a C-1 chart of accounts that
- 2 was public and then we did a C-1 and it ended up in
- 3 two parts on e-Docket, but that was the proprietary
- 4 version.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: I have a note here that it is
- 6 proprietary and we'll get to that in just a second.
- 7 For Exhibit 3.0, I wanted to mark the
- 8 resumes that were filed as Appendix G.
- 9 And it is my understanding, then, that
- 10 you are moving for the admission of Exhibits 1.0,
- 11 1.1, 2.0 and 3.0 into evidence?
- MS. HERTEL: Yes, I am, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: And, Ms. Chang, does Staff have an
- 14 objection to all or any of the exhibits?
- 15 MS. CHANG: Staff has no objection.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: And Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 2.0 and 3.0
- 17 are admitted.
- 18 (Whereupon, Applicant's
- 19 Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 2.0 and
- 20 3.0 were admitted in
- 21 evidence.)
- JUDGE RILEY: There's no need to keep -- I can

- 1 find the Annual Report on the Commission Web site,
- 2 that won't be a problem.
- We have covered everything, then, I
- 4 think. There is nothing left, then, for me to do
- 5 than direct the court reporter to mark this matter
- 6 heard and taken and I will issue the proposed order
- 7 today, if possible, no later than tomorrow and we'll
- 8 have a very short date for exceptions.
- 9 MR. PERDIOU: Judge, I did find it, it's
- 10 Appendix I.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you very much.
- 12 HEARD AND TAKEN
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22